## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

DARRYL McGORE,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:10-cv-355

v.

Honorable Paul L. Maloney

UNKNOWN CROMPTON,

Defendant.

## ORDER DENYING RECONSIDERATION

This is a civil rights action brought by a state prisoner pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 28, 2010, the Court issued an opinion and order (docket ##7, 8) denying leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* because Plaintiff had three strikes within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1919(g). The matter presently is before the Court on Plaintiff's "Motion to Vacate Judgment and Order" (docket #9), ostensibly filed under FED. R. CIV. P. 59.

Because the Court has not yet entered a judgment, Plaintiff's motion is not properly filed under Rule 59(e). Instead, the motion more properly is considered a motion for reconsideration under FED. R. CIV. P. 54(b), which provides that a non-final order is subject to reconsideration at any time before entry of a final judgment. Pursuant to Western District of Michigan Local Civil Rule 7.4(a), reconsideration is appropriate only when the movant "demonstrate[s] a palpable defect by which the Court and the parties have been mislead [sic] . . . [and] that a different disposition must result from the correction thereof."

The Court has reviewed the arguments raised by Plaintiff and finds no ground for

reconsideration of its prior determination. As discussed in the Court's opinion and order issued

April 28, 2010, the three-strikes rule has been found constitutional against arguments that it violates

equal protection, the right of access to the courts, and due process, and that it constitutes a bill of

attainder and is ex post facto legislation. As a consequence, Plaintiff's constitutional arguments are

meritless. Further, the Court properly concluded that Plaintiff had failed to allege facts falling within

the exception to the three-strikes rule for imminent danger of serious physical injury. In sum,

Plaintiff fails entirely to demonstrate any error, much less palpable error, in the Court's April 28,

2010 opinion and order denying leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (docket #9) is

DENIED.

Dated: May 18, 2010

/s/ Paul L. Maloney

Paul L. Maloney

Chief United States District Judge

-2-